(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 392 of 2002

Petitioner : Santosh Kumar Kewat,
S/o Late Shankar Lal Kewat
Aged about – 25 years
R/o- Jarhabhata, Bilaspur
Chhattisgarh.

VERSUS

Respondent :

1. Sub Divisional Officer
Post & Telegram (Now
Telecommunication Deptt.)
Ambikapur, Surguja, C.G.

2. General Manager,
Telecommunication, C.G Circle
G.E. Road, Raipur.

3. Union of India
Through: Secretary
Telecommunication, New Delhi

P. R. No. 392
Presented by Shri ... Adv.
dated ...

Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India

KI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 392/02 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

17/4/2003

Smt. Hamida Siddique for the petitioner.

Shri V.V.S. Murthy, Addl. Standing Counsel for Union of India.

Heard.

This petition is filed by the petitioner for compassionate appointment.

Return has been filed.

Counsel for respondent/Union of India has very fairly stated in the return at paragraphs 5.5 and 5.7 that the petition of the petitioner came in the office of Telecom, District Engineer, Raigarh on 22/12/1995 alongwith unwillingness letter and medical certificate of his elder brother Shri Ramsunder Kewat and death certificate(photo copy) of late Shri Shankarlal Kewat. On perusal of the case, a blank application form was issued to the petitioner, which was filled in and submitted on 28/12/1995 in the office. The

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 392/02 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

application of the petitioner was submitted to the Chief General Manager, Telecom, M.P. Telecom Circle, Bhopal on 25/1/1996. Due to difference in death certificate of Shri Shankarlal Kewat, application of the petitioner was returned vide letter dated 23/4/1998. Necessary corrections in the application of the petitioner was made and again resubmitted to the Chief General Manager on 20/7/1998. Two representations of the petitioner were received in the office on 13/8/1997 and 20/10/1997. In this regard, the elder brother of the petitioner was asked to submit certain documents, but the same have not been submitted either by the petitioner or his elder brother.

Learned counsel for Union of India submits that certain formulaties are required to be done by the petitioner and he is not rendering due co-operation. If the petitioner wants employment, he must render due co-operation.

(41)

XII-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 392/02 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -3-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

Let the petitioner appear before the Telecom District Engineer, Raigarh and do the legal formulaties, who shall decide the matter as early as possible preferably within one month from the date of appearance of the petitioner before him.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition is disposed of at this stage with liberty to file afresh if cause survives.

Consequently, M.W.P. No.541/02 and I.A. No.2034/02 stand disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge